



Activity in Case 1:11-cv-06616-LAP Weisshaus v. The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey et al Supplemental ROA Sent to USCA - Electronic File

NYSD_ECF_Pool

to:

CourtMail

01/05/2012 10:51 AM

Show Details

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

Southern District of New York

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 1/5/2012 at 10:50 AM EST and filed on 1/5/2012

Case Name: Weisshaus v. The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey et al

Case Number: [1:11-cv-06616-LAP](#)

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 10/24/2011

Document Number: No document attached

Docket Text:

First Supplemental ROA Sent to USCA (Electronic File). Certified Supplemental Indexed record on Appeal Electronic Files for [10] Amended Notice of Appeal filed by Yoel Weisshaus, [9] Order, USCA Case Number 11-4934, were transmitted to the U.S. Court of Appeals. (tp)

1:11-cv-06616-LAP Notice has been electronically mailed to:

1:11-cv-06616-LAP Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Yoel Weisshaus
516 River Road 6
New Milford, NJ 07646

CLOSED, APPEAL, ECF, PRO-SE

**U.S. District Court
Southern District of New York (Foley Square)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:11-cv-06616-LAP**

Weisshaus v. The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey
et al
Assigned to: Judge Loretta A. Preska
Case in other court: USCA 2nd Circuit, 11-04934
Cause: 28:2201 Constitutionality of State Statute(s)

Date Filed: 09/19/2011
Date Terminated: 10/24/2011
Jury Demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440 Civil Rights: Other
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Yoel Weisshaus

represented by **Yoel Weisshaus**
516 River Road 6
New Milford, NJ 07646
PRO SE

V.

Defendant

**The Port Authority of New York and
New Jersey**

Defendant

The State of New York

Defendant

New York State Assembly

Defendant

New York State Senate

Defendant

The State of New Jersey

Defendant

New Jersey State Legislator

Defendant

New Jersey State General Assembly

Defendant

New Jersey State Senate

Defendant

1-20 John Does

Defendant

1-20 Jane Does

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
09/19/2011	<u>1</u>	DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS. Document filed by Yoel Weisshaus.(laq) (Entered: 09/22/2011)

09/19/2011	<u>2</u>	COMPLAINT against Jane Does, John Does, New Jersey State General Assembly, New Jersey State Legislator, New Jersey State Senate, New York State Assembly, New York State Senate, The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, The State of New Jersey, The State of New York. Document filed by Yoel Weisshaus.(laq) (Entered: 09/22/2011)
09/19/2011		Case Designated ECF. (laq) (Entered: 09/22/2011)
10/05/2011	<u>3</u>	Pro Se Acknowledgment Letter Mailed: Pro Se acknowledgment letter mailed to the plaintiff via USPS to the address noted on the Complaint on 10/5/2011. (msa) Modified on 10/5/2011 (msa). (Entered: 10/05/2011)
10/24/2011	<u>4</u>	ORDER OF DISMISSAL: The Clerk of Court is directed to assign this case to Chief Judge Loretta A. Preska. The Complaint, filed in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), is dismissed for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted and on immunity grounds. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii),(iii). The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. (Signed by Judge Deborah A. Batts, Acting Chief U.S. District Judge on 10/24/2011) Copies Mailed By Pro Se Office. (mro) (Entered: 10/26/2011)
10/24/2011		NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT – SUA SPONTE to Judge Loretta A. Preska. Judge Unassigned is no longer assigned to the case. (mro) (Entered: 10/26/2011)
10/24/2011	<u>5</u>	CIVIL JUDGMENT: ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: That the Complaint be and is dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. (Signed by Judge Deborah A. Batts, Acting Chief U.S. District Judge on 10/24/2011) Copies Mailed By Pro Se Office. (mro) (Entered: 10/26/2011)
11/16/2011	<u>8</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL from <u>4</u> Order of Dismissal, <u>5</u> Judgment. Document filed by Yoel Weisshaus. Filing fee \$ 455.00, receipt number 465401022106. (tp) (Entered: 11/18/2011)
11/16/2011		Appeal Remark as to <u>8</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Yoel Weisshaus. FEE PAID. IFP REVOKED 10/24/11. (tp) (Entered: 11/18/2011)
11/18/2011		Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Certified Copy of Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re: <u>8</u> Notice of Appeal. (tp) (Entered: 11/18/2011)
11/18/2011		Transmission of Notice of Appeal to the District Judge re: <u>8</u> Notice of Appeal. (tp) (Entered: 11/18/2011)
12/02/2011		Appeal Record Sent to USCA (Electronic File). Certified Indexed record on Appeal Electronic Files for <u>4</u> Order of Dismissal, <u>3</u> Pro Se Acknowledgment Letter Mailed, <u>6</u> Letter, filed by Yoel Weisshaus, <u>8</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Yoel Weisshaus, <u>1</u> Declaration in Support of I.F.P. filed by Yoel Weisshaus, <u>2</u> Complaint, filed by Yoel Weisshaus, <u>7</u> Letter, filed by Yoel Weisshaus, <u>5</u> Judgment, USCA Case Number 11-4934, were transmitted to the U.S. Court of Appeals. (tp) (Entered: 12/02/2011)
12/08/2011	<u>9</u>	ORDER: Accordingly, Plaintiffs motion for reconsideration is DENIED. No further filings will be accepted in this case except those directed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. (Signed by Judge Loretta A. Preska on 12/8/2011) Copies Mailed By Pro Se Office. (mro) (Entered: 12/08/2011)
12/22/2011	<u>10</u>	AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL re: <u>8</u> Notice of Appeal, <u>4</u> Order of Dismissal, <u>5</u> Judgment, <u>9</u> Order. Document filed by Yoel Weisshaus. (tp) (Entered: 01/03/2012)
01/03/2012		Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Certified Copy of Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re: <u>10</u> Amended Notice of Appeal. (tp) (Entered: 01/03/2012)

01/05/2012		USCA Case Number 11-4934 from the USCA 2nd Circuit assigned to <u>8</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Yoel Weisshaus, <u>10</u> Amended Notice of Appeal filed by Yoel Weisshaus. (tp) (Entered: 01/05/2012)
01/05/2012		First Supplemental ROA Sent to USCA (Electronic File). Certified Supplemental Indexed record on Appeal Electronic Files for <u>10</u> Amended Notice of Appeal filed by Yoel Weisshaus, <u>9</u> Order, USCA Case Number 11-4934, were transmitted to the U.S. Court of Appeals. (tp) (Entered: 01/05/2012)